

# "PREVIEW"

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

*All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing.*  
Edmund Burke

My name is Kevin Thompson. I am a high school physics teacher in Massachusetts and just one of the thousands of fathers who have been victimized by the organized crime in this state's family courts.

I have found that the system is corrupt to the core, from the incompetent mediators and DSS investigators to the unprofessional, biased judges; who stereotype fathers, write law from the bench, and ignore any evidence that might threaten its agenda to give mothers everything at the expense of fathers.

In a state where 17 of every 20 citizens polled believe that shared parenting should be the presumption in child custody rulings, there exists a family court system where not a single employee agrees with such a presumption.

The family courts have brainwashed the public for years with the claim that their biased rulings protect the best interests of the children. That is a LIE! Their self-serving decisions are based solely on the financial interests of lawyers, judges, case-workers, and tax-funded agencies, whose pockets are lined by inefficient, unproductive days in court and gender-biased policies that promote and prolong litigation.

What is frightening about the discrimination against fathers in this state is that it is perpetrated by an institution with a professional duty to be honorable and protect the rights of its citizens. What fathers discover quickly in the family courts of Massachusetts is that this protection does not apply to them.

In a system without checks and balances where absolute power corrupts absolutely, family court judges are arrogantly aware that they can deny Constitutional rights, treat loving fathers as criminals, and blatantly ignore the law with impunity.

You would think that family court judges would be appointed based on their reputations for fairness, competence, and professionalism. That is not the case in Massachusetts. Honorable and competent judges would only inhibit the money to be made by the racketeers working in the courts.

To make matters worse, the legislative branch in Massachusetts is the family court's strongest ally in this war against fathers. Every year bills are sponsored that address the injustices in family court and every year these bills die in the Joint Committee on the Judiciary where, conveniently, each bill related to court reform must pass before it can be brought before the full House and Senate for a vote.

Add to this the man-hating propaganda spewed by radical feminist groups, who have made it politically correct to vilify men as violent monsters, and you have a stacked deck against fathers.

The fact is that many family court cases could be resolved instantly at the initial hearing with one simple question, "Do either of you intend to prove to the court that the other parent is unfit?" Since the answer to that question would be "no" from both parents in the majority of cases, then the compromise of 50/50 joint physical custody could, and should, be ordered immediately.

Of course, such a logical solution is ignored in this state because it reduces the billable hours that the family courts create for lawyers and eliminates the need for a child support order. It is the child support order that gives all of the joys of a parental relationship with the children to the mother, but orders the ostracized father to finance that arrangement. This, in effect, entices mothers into the system and ensures a steady influx of customers.

I am writing this book because the only hope that fathers have for justice in Massachusetts is for this corruption to be exposed publicly and hopefully generate the public outrage needed to force a change. Court reform will never happen without this kind of "whistleblower" effort because the courts currently operate under a cloak of secrecy where too many people are profiting off the corruption.

A secondary reason for writing this book is for my own peace of mind. The world will know, or at least the readers of this book will know, the lies and crimes that I have endured personally, including the names of the individuals who committed these crimes against me.

The book has three distinct parts. In the first section, the hypocrisy and unethical tactics that all fathers must endure in these courts will be exposed. The second section will detail the events of my specific case. And the third section will expose the "spin-off" corruption that resulted from my efforts to hold the criminals in my case accountable. My documented case will be used to illustrate the twilight zone level nonsense that fathers experience in and outside of this state's family courts.

It should be noted that the crimes committed against me do not make my case unique. Unfortunately, there are many fathers who have experienced much worse.

Compared to the tragic stories of other fathers in this state who were financially ruined, removed from their homes, jailed without just cause or due process, denied all contact with their children, and driven to suicide; I consider myself lucky.

What *does make* my case unique is that I am a fitter parent than the mother of my son by every objective measure imaginable. The mother of my son cannot deny this fact. Consequently, she responded to the reality of her situation with slanderous lies about me to manufacture evidence for her case.

The mistake that she made, which *would have* sabotaged her case before an *honorable* court, was to get careless with her lies and allege actions and relevant information that could easily be proven false with documents, witnesses, and the court-recorded tapes. That forced the judge in my case to step in and pull some tricks of his own to obstruct my presentation of evidence, preserve the mother's testimony, and protect his predetermined ruling of custody to her.

A domino effect of cover-ups and crimes followed. A law-defying dismissal of a lawsuit filed against one of the Mother's accomplices preceded a wildly unjust ruling from the Massachusetts Appeals Court.

A three-judge panel of the Appeals Court ruled that my appeal of the lower court decision was "frivolous" with "no basis in law or fact" to justify an order that extorts from me double the Mother's attorney fees and costs. The Supreme Judicial Court added insult on top of injury by denying my application for further appellate review.

In a nutshell, I was ordered to pay thousands of dollars to a lawyer who I did not hire for reporting blatant judicial misconduct and for requesting a new trial before an honorable court that would respect my Constitutionally-protected rights to due process and equal protection, my inalienable right to parent, and my son's right to a balanced relationship with both of his parents.

With all that I have endured in this court system, I consider the Appeals Court response, in combination with the documents that this Court claimed to examine prior to its outrageous ruling, my most convincing piece of evidence to support my contention that the Massachusetts courts are, in fact, a system of organized crime that extends to the seven justices who sit on this state's Supreme Judicial Court.

Please judge for yourself whether it is in any way possible for an *honorable* court to examine the "impounded" documents contained in chapters 25-27 of this book (ie. my brief, my reply brief, and my application for further appellate review) and reach the conclusions expressed by the three-judge panel of the Appeals Court and then affirmed by the full Supreme Judicial Court.

I contend, and will attempt to prove, that the attorney fee extortion "sentence" had nothing to do with the merits of my appeal and everything to do with coming after me personally for my very public and persistent efforts to expose the crimes that I have witnessed in family court.

Since the details of my case have not yet been communicated, the claims of retaliation and conspiracy made in this opening chapter are admittedly premature and tough to swallow. I would certainly be skeptical myself if I had not experienced the corruption with my own eyes. I ask only that you keep an open mind and reserve judgment until you have read everything.

Since Massachusetts denies fathers their right to plead their case to a jury of their peers, I respectfully request that you, the readers, be *my* jury.

.....

DISCLAIMER: If you were expecting to be shocked and/or enthralled by the opening chapter, then I apologize. That was not going to happen with claims that are, at this point, still baseless. Please be patient and stay with me because the outrage and interest that I hope to generate should grow with the supporting details still to come in the chapters that follow.

(THE TABLE OF CONTENTS ARE COPIED BELOW)

# **CONTENTS**

<b>1</b>	INTRODUCTION	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	THE COURT'S FINANCIAL INCENTIVE	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	ABSOLUTE POWER CORRUPTS ABSOLUTELY	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	THE ROLE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	WHY FATHERS?	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	PROPAGANDA AGAINST FATHERS	<b>17</b>
<b>7</b>	A CLOSER LOOK AT THE RESEARCH	<b>25</b>
<b>8</b>	DISCRIMINATION AGAINST PRO SE LITIGANTS	<b>31</b>
<b>9</b>	COURTROOM TACTICS USED TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST FATHERS	<b>35</b>
<b>10</b>	STRATEGY FOR MOTHERS IN FAMILY COURT	<b>39</b>
<b>11</b>	THE CHILD SUPPORT ORDER	<b>43</b>
<b>12</b>	COURT ARGUMENTS USED TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST FATHERS	<b>49</b>
<b>13</b>	KEY INGREDIENT TO FAMILY COURT CORRUPTION	<b>55</b>
<b>14</b>	A PARENT FITNESS COMPARISON	<b>57</b>
<b>15</b>	THE OBVIOUS QUESTION	<b>65</b>
<b>16</b>	THE MOTHER'S UNETHICAL STUNTS	<b>67</b>
<b>17</b>	JUDGE MARY McCAULEY MANZI	<b>89</b>

<b>18</b>	<b>THE MEDIATOR INCIDENT</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>ATTORNEY DEMETRA PONTISAKOS</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>THE DSS REPORT</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>JUDGE PETER C. DIGANGI</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>22</b>	<b>THE TRIAL</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>JUDGE DIGANGI'S FINDINGS OF FACT</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>THE APPEALS COURT PROCESS</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>25</b>	<b>BRIEF OF THE APPELLANT</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>26</b>	<b>REPLY BRIEF</b>	<b>199</b>
<b>27</b>	<b>APPLICATION FOR FURTHER APPELLATE REVIEW</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>MY PUBLIC EFFORTS TO EXPOSE THE CORRUPTION</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>29</b>	<b>MY EFFORTS TO HOLD THE CRIMINALS IN MY CASE ACCOUNTABLE</b>	<b>257</b>
<b>30</b>	<b>DIANE DANDRETA - THE MOTHER'S ACCOMPLICE</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>31</b>	<b>THE CORRUPTION CONTINUES IN SUPERIOR COURT</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>32</b>	<b>WHAT OTHER STATES SAY ABOUT JOINT CUSTODY</b>	<b>289</b>
<b>33</b>	<b>BOTTOM LINE: WHAT FAMILY COURTS DO TO FATHERS IS ILLEGAL</b>	<b>305</b>
<b>34</b>	<b>FINAL COMMENTS</b>	<b>309</b>